


GOLD MEDAL PAPER SESSION SCORING SHEET

8th International Conference of Indian Stem Cell Study Group (ISCSGCON 2026)

Venue: Taj Deccan, Hyderabad

Judge Name: Dr. BICHU

Judge Signature: 

No.	Evaluation Parameter	Key Aspects to Consider	Total Marks (Out of 10)
1	Scientific Relevance & Originality	<i>Novelty of idea, contribution to regenerative medicine, clinical or translational significance</i>	___ /10
2	Clarity of Research Question / Objective	<i>Clearly defined hypothesis, problem statement, and scientific rationale</i>	___ /10
3	Methodological Rigor	<i>Study design, patient selection, controls, ethical considerations, statistical validity</i>	___ /10
4	Data Quality & Analysis	<i>Adequacy of data, statistical interpretation, transparency of results</i>	___ /10
5	Scientific Interpretation	<i>Logical conclusions drawn from data, critical discussion of findings</i>	___ /10
6	Clinical or Translational Impact	<i>Relevance to patient care, future research implications, scalability</i>	___ /10
7	Presentation Structure & Slide Quality	<i>Clarity of slides, logical flow, visual data representation, minimal text</i>	___ /10
8	Communication & Scientific Articulation	<i>Clarity of speech, confidence, ability to explain complex concepts</i>	___ /10
9	Adherence to Time (6 min + 2 min discussion)	<i>Effective time management, completion within allotted time</i>	___ /10
10	Response to Questions / Critical Thinking	<i>Ability to defend methodology, interpret findings, and address jury questions</i>	___ /10

Speaker 1 Evaluation

Speaker Name: Vaibhav Jain

Paper Title: _____

Institution: Gene Nepal

No Evaluation Criteria	Marks (Out of 10)
1 Scientific Relevance & Originality	<u>6</u> /10
2 Clarity of Research Objective / Hypothesis	<u>6</u> /10
3 Methodological Rigor & Study Design	<u>6</u> /10
4 Data Quality & Statistical Interpretation	<u>6</u> /10
5 Scientific Interpretation & Discussion	<u>6</u> /10
6 Clinical / Translational Impact	<u>5</u> /10
7 Presentation Structure & Slide Quality	<u>6</u> /10
8 Scientific Communication & Clarity	<u>6</u> /10
9 Time Discipline (6 min talk + 2 min discussion)	<u>8</u> /10
10 Response to Jury Questions & Critical Thinking	<u>6</u> /10

Total Score: 61 / 100

Judge Remarks

Speaker 2 Evaluation

Speaker Name: _____

Paper Title: _____

Institution: _____

No Evaluation Criteria	Marks (Out of 10)
1 Scientific Relevance & Originality	___/10
2 Clarity of Research Objective / Hypothesis	___/10
3 Methodological Rigor & Study Design	___/10
4 Data Quality & Statistical Interpretation	___/10
5 Scientific Interpretation & Discussion	___/10
6 Clinical / Translational Impact	___/10
7 Presentation Structure & Slide Quality	___/10
8 Scientific Communication & Clarity	___/10
9 Time Discipline	___/10
10 Response to Jury Questions	___/10

Total Score: _____ / 100

Judge Remarks

Speaker 3 Evaluation

Speaker Name: Vibron T.

Paper Title: _____

Institution: BKIC Chelmsford

No Evaluation Criteria **Marks (Out of 10)**

1 Scientific Relevance & Originality 6 /10

2 Clarity of Research Objective 6 /10

3 Methodological Rigor 5 /10

4 Data Quality & Analysis 7 /10

5 Scientific Interpretation 7 /10

6 Clinical / Translational Relevance 6 /10

7 Slide Quality & Structure 5 /10

8 Communication & Confidence 6 /10

9 Adherence to Time 5 /10

10 Response to Questions 7 /10

Total Score: 60 /100

21
24
15
60

Judge Remarks

Speaker 4 Evaluation

Speaker Name: Gordon Kordina
Paper Title: _____
Institution: KMCH Hospital

No Evaluation Criteria	Marks (Out of 10)
1 Scientific Relevance & Originality	<u>5</u> /10
2 Clarity of Research Objective	<u>6</u> /10
3 Methodological Rigor	<u>5</u> /10
4 Data Quality & Analysis	<u>6</u> /10
5 Scientific Interpretation	<u>5</u> /10
6 Clinical / Translational Relevance	<u>6</u> /10
7 Slide Quality & Structure	<u>5</u> /10
8 Communication & Confidence	<u>6</u> /10
9 Adherence to Time	<u>5</u> /10
10 Response to Questions	<u>5</u> /10
Total Score:	<u>54</u> / 100

Judge Remarks

Speaker 5 Evaluation

Speaker Name: Venkatky
Paper Title: _____
Institution: Gene Computation

No Evaluation Criteria	Marks (Out of 10)
1 Scientific Relevance & Originality	<u>5</u> /10
2 Clarity of Research Objective	<u>5</u> /10
3 Methodological Rigor	<u>5</u> /10
4 Data Quality & Analysis	<u>5</u> /10
5 Scientific Interpretation	<u>6</u> /10
6 Clinical / Translational Relevance	<u>6</u> /10
7 Slide Quality & Structure	<u>6</u> /10
8 Communication & Confidence	<u>6</u> /10
9 Adherence to Time	<u>5</u> /10
10 Response to Questions	<u>6</u> /10

Total Score: 55 / 100

Judge Remarks

Speaker Name:
P...

Speaker 6 Evaluation

Speaker Name: Niketa Pandey

Paper Title: _____

Institution: IIITM New Delhi

No Evaluation Criteria **Marks (Out of 10)**

1 Scientific Relevance & Originality 5 /10

2 Clarity of Research Objective 5 /10

3 Methodological Rigor 5 /10

4 Data Quality & Analysis 5 /10

5 Scientific Interpretation 5 /10

6 Clinical / Translational Relevance 5 /10

7 Slide Quality & Structure 5 /10

8 Communication & Confidence 5 /10

9 Adherence to Time 5 /10

10 Response to Questions 5 /10

Total Score: 50 / 100

Judge Remarks
