

## GOLD MEDAL PAPER SESSION SCORING SHEET

8th International Conference of Indian Stem Cell Study Group (ISCSGCON 2026)

Venue: Taj Deccan, Hyderabad

Judge Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge Signature: Sanjay Kumar

No.	Evaluation Parameter	Key Aspects to Consider	Total Marks (Out of 10)
1	Scientific Relevance & Originality	Novelty of idea, contribution to regenerative medicine, clinical or translational significance	___ /10
2	Clarity of Research Question / Objective	Clearly defined hypothesis, problem statement, and scientific rationale	___ /10
3	Methodological Rigor	Study design, patient selection, controls, ethical considerations, statistical validity	___ /10
4	Data Quality & Analysis	Adequacy of data, statistical interpretation, transparency of results	___ /10
5	Scientific Interpretation	Logical conclusions drawn from data, critical discussion of findings	___ /10
6	Clinical or Translational Impact	Relevance to patient care, future research implications, scalability	___ /10
7	Presentation Structure & Slide Quality	Clarity of slides, logical flow, visual data representation, minimal text	___ /10
8	Communication & Scientific Articulation	Clarity of speech, confidence, ability to explain complex concepts	___ /10
9	Adherence to Time (6 min + 2 min discussion)	Effective time management, completion within allotted time	___ /10
10	Response to Questions / Critical Thinking	Ability to defend methodology, interpret findings, and address jury questions	___ /10

### Speaker 1 Evaluation

Speaker Name: Dr. Vaibhav Jain  
Paper Title: PRP in sacroiliitis.  
Institution: Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal.

No Evaluation Criteria	Marks (Out of 10)
1 Scientific Relevance & Originality	<u>8</u> /10
2 Clarity of Research Objective / Hypothesis	<u>8</u> /10
3 Methodological Rigor & Study Design	<u>6</u> /10
4 Data Quality & Statistical Interpretation	<u>5</u> /10
5 Scientific Interpretation & Discussion	<u>7</u> /10
6 Clinical / Translational Impact	<u>7</u> /10
7 Presentation Structure & Slide Quality	<u>6</u> /10
8 Scientific Communication & Clarity	<u>7</u> /10
9 Time Discipline (6 min talk + 2 min discussion)	<u>10</u> /10
10 Response to Jury Questions & Critical Thinking	<u>6</u> /10

70

Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_ / 100

#### Judge Remarks

1. In which type of pathology PRP is given.
2. Do you given it in AS.
3. What contrast you give.
4. Have you mixed PRP and

**Speaker 2 Evaluation**

Speaker Name: Dr. Vibha T.  
Paper Title: Autologous Cytokine rich serum.  
Institution: \_\_\_\_\_

No Evaluation Criteria	Marks (Out of 10)
1 Scientific Relevance & Originality	<u>7</u> /10
2 Clarity of Research Objective / Hypothesis	<u>7</u> /10
3 Methodological Rigor & Study Design	<u>6</u> /10
4 Data Quality & Statistical Interpretation	<u>5</u> /10
5 Scientific Interpretation & Discussion	<u>5</u> /10
6 Clinical / Translational Impact	<u>6</u> /10
7 Presentation Structure & Slide Quality	<u>6</u> /10
8 Scientific Communication & Clarity	<u>5</u> /10
9 Time Discipline	<u>8</u> /10
10 Response to Jury Questions	<u>7</u> /10

(62)

Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_ / 100

**Judge Remarks**

How do you incubate.

---

---

---

---

[Signature]

### Speaker 3 Evaluation

Speaker Name: Dr. Gautam Krishna.

Paper Title: USG guided PPT injection *partial tear of ACL.*

Institution: \_\_\_\_\_

No Evaluation Criteria                      Marks (Out of 10)

1 Scientific Relevance & Originality 5 /10

2 Clarity of Research Objective 5 /10

3 Methodological Rigor 6 /10

4 Data Quality & Analysis 5 /10

5 Scientific Interpretation 6 /10

6 Clinical / Translational Relevance 5 /10

7 Slide Quality & Structure 7 /10

8 Communication & Confidence 7 /10

9 Adherence to Time 2 /10

10 Response to Questions 7 /10

(55) → 55

Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_ / 100

Judge Remarks

1. Where do you give the injection  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker 4 Evaluation**

Speaker Name: A. Venkatesh

Paper Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Institution: PPP in Osteochondral cystic lesion of distal femur.

No Evaluation Criteria	Marks (Out of 10)
1 Scientific Relevance & Originality	<u>6</u> /10
2 Clarity of Research Objective	<u>7</u> /10
3 Methodological Rigor	<u>7</u> /10
4 Data Quality & Analysis	<u>5</u> /10
5 Scientific Interpretation	<u>7</u> /10
6 Clinical / Translational Relevance	<u>6</u> /10
7 Slide Quality & Structure	<u>7</u> /10
8 Communication & Confidence	<u>6</u> /10
9 Adherence to Time	<u>5</u> /10
10 Response to Questions	<u>7</u> /10

(63)

Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_ / 100

Judge Remarks

---

---

---

---

---

h

**Speaker 5 Evaluation**

Speaker Name: Dr. Nikita Pandit  
Paper Title: PM for solid tumors  
Institution: \_\_\_\_\_

No Evaluation Criteria	Marks (Out of 10)
1 Scientific Relevance & Originality	<u>2</u> /10
2 Clarity of Research Objective	<u>2</u> /10
3 Methodological Rigor	<u>4</u> /10
4 Data Quality & Analysis	<u>4</u> /10
5 Scientific Interpretation	<u>2</u> /10
6 Clinical / Translational Relevance	<u>2</u> /10
7 Slide Quality & Structure	<u>3</u> /10
8 Communication & Confidence	<u>3</u> /10
9 Adherence to Time	<u>4</u> /10
10 Response to Questions	<u>6</u> /10

(32)

Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_ / 100

Judge Remarks

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

*[Handwritten signature]*