

GOLD MEDAL PAPER SESSION SCORING SHEET

8th International Conference of Indian Stem Cell Study Group (ISCSGCON 2026)

Venue: Taj Deccan, Hyderabad

Judge Name: Dr. Penha S. Sarkar

Judge Signature: 

No.	Evaluation Parameter	Key Aspects to Consider	Total Marks (Out of 10)
1	Scientific Relevance & Originality	Novelty of idea, contribution to regenerative medicine, clinical or translational significance	___ /10
2	Clarity of Research Question / Objective	Clearly defined hypothesis, problem statement, and scientific rationale	___ /10
3	Methodological Rigor	Study design, patient selection, controls, ethical considerations, statistical validity	___ /10
4	Data Quality & Analysis	Adequacy of data, statistical interpretation, transparency of results	___ /10
5	Scientific Interpretation	Logical conclusions drawn from data, critical discussion of findings	___ /10
6	Clinical or Translational Impact	Relevance to patient care, future research implications, scalability	___ /10
7	Presentation Structure & Slide Quality	Clarity of slides, logical flow, visual data representation, minimal text	___ /10
8	Communication & Scientific Articulation	Clarity of speech, confidence, ability to explain complex concepts	___ /10
9	Adherence to Time (6 min + 2 min discussion)	Effective time management, completion within allotted time	___ /10
10	Response to Questions / Critical Thinking	Ability to defend methodology, interpret findings, and address jury questions	___ /10

Speaker 1 Evaluation

Speaker Name: Vaibhav Jain
Paper Title: _____
Institution: _____

No Evaluation Criteria	Marks (Out of 10)
1 Scientific Relevance & Originality	<u>8</u> /10
2 Clarity of Research Objective / Hypothesis	<u>7</u> /10
3 Methodological Rigor & Study Design	<u>7</u> /10
4 Data Quality & Statistical Interpretation	<u>8</u> /10
5 Scientific Interpretation & Discussion	<u>7</u> /10
6 Clinical / Translational Impact	<u>8</u> /10
7 Presentation Structure & Slide Quality	<u>9</u> /10
8 Scientific Communication & Clarity	<u>8</u> /10
9 Time Discipline (6 min talk + 2 min discussion)	<u>9</u> /10
10 Response to Jury Questions & Critical Thinking	<u>7</u> /10

32
28
18

Total Score: _____ / 100

Judge Remarks

Exclusion Criteria
long term follow up waiting

Speaker 2 Evaluation

Speaker Name: Dr Vibin

Paper Title: _____

Institution: _____

No Evaluation Criteria

Marks (Out of 10)

- | | |
|--|---------------|
| 1 Scientific Relevance & Originality | <u>7</u> /10 |
| 2 Clarity of Research Objective / Hypothesis | <u>8</u> /10 |
| 3 Methodological Rigor & Study Design | <u>7</u> /10 |
| 4 Data Quality & Statistical Interpretation | <u>8</u> /10 |
| 5 Scientific Interpretation & Discussion | <u>7</u> /10 |
| 6 Clinical / Translational Impact | <u>8</u> /10 |
| 7 Presentation Structure & Slide Quality | <u>9</u> /10 |
| 8 Scientific Communication & Clarity | <u>7</u> /10 |
| 9 Time Discipline | <u>10</u> /10 |
| 10 Response to Jury Questions | <u>6</u> /10 |

28
2-4
MD
25

Total Score: _____ / 100

Judge Remarks

Exact dosage schedule
should be maintained.

Speaker 3 Evaluation

Speaker Name: Browtham Krishnam

Paper Title: _____

Institution: _____

No Evaluation Criteria	Marks (Out of 10)
------------------------	-------------------

1 Scientific Relevance & Originality	<u>8</u> /10
--------------------------------------	--------------

2 Clarity of Research Objective	<u>8</u> /10
---------------------------------	--------------

3 Methodological Rigor	<u>8</u> /10
------------------------	--------------

4 Data Quality & Analysis	<u>8</u> /10
---------------------------	--------------

5 Scientific Interpretation	<u>7</u> /10
-----------------------------	--------------

6 Clinical / Translational Relevance	<u>8</u> /10
--------------------------------------	--------------

7 Slide Quality & Structure	<u>9</u> /10
-----------------------------	--------------

8 Communication & Confidence	<u>9</u> /10
------------------------------	--------------

9 Adherence to Time	<u>5</u> /10
---------------------	--------------

10 Response to Questions	<u>8</u> /10
--------------------------	--------------

Total Score: _____ / 100

Judge Remarks

Instability part should be
addressed

68
30
—

Speaker 4 Evaluation

Speaker Name: Dr. Venkatesh .

Paper Title: _____

Institution: _____

No Evaluation Criteria	Marks (Out of 10)
1 Scientific Relevance & Originality	<u>8</u> /10
2 Clarity of Research Objective	<u>7</u> /10
3 Methodological Rigor	<u>8</u> /10
4 Data Quality & Analysis	<u>7</u> /10
5 Scientific Interpretation	<u>8</u> /10
6 Clinical / Translational Relevance	<u>8</u> /10
7 Slide Quality & Structure	<u>8</u> /10
8 Communication & Confidence	<u>8</u> /10
9 Adherence to Time	<u>5</u> /10
10 Response to Questions	<u>9</u> /10

48
14
14

144

Total Score: _____ / 100

Judge Remarks

Very few sample (only two cases)

Speaker 5 Evaluation

Presented by
Nikita Pandit

Speaker Name: ~~Dr. [unclear]~~

Paper Title: Raksh Pandit

Institution: _____

No Evaluation Criteria Marks (Out of 10)

- 1 Scientific Relevance & Originality 5 /10
- 2 Clarity of Research Objective 2 /10
- 3 Methodological Rigor 5 /10
- 4 Data Quality & Analysis 5 /10
- 5 Scientific Interpretation 4 /10
- 6 Clinical / Translational Relevance 5 /10
- 7 Slide Quality & Structure 8 /10
- 8 Communication & Confidence 4 /10
- 9 Adherence to Time 2 /10
- 10 Response to Questions 2 /10

20
6
8
8

42

Total Score: _____ / 100

Judge Remarks

Speaker 6 Evaluation

Speaker Name: _____

Paper Title: _____

Institution: _____

No Evaluation Criteria	Marks (Out of 10)
1 Scientific Relevance & Originality	___ /10
2 Clarity of Research Objective	___ /10
3 Methodological Rigor	___ /10
4 Data Quality & Analysis	___ /10
5 Scientific Interpretation	___ /10
6 Clinical / Translational Relevance	___ /10
7 Slide Quality & Structure	___ /10
8 Communication & Confidence	___ /10
9 Adherence to Time	___ /10
10 Response to Questions	___ /10

Total Score: _____ / 100

Judge Remarks

ISCSGCON 2026

Gold Medal Paper Session – Final Evaluation & Average Score Sheet

Conference: 8th International Conference of Indian Stem Cell Study Group (ISCSGCON 2026)

Venue: Taj Deccan, Hyderabad

Judge 1 Name: Bishwin

Judge 2 Name: SANJAY

Judge 3 Name: SARILAR

Final Score Compilation

Speaker	Judge 1 Score (100)	Judge 2 Score (100)	Judge 3 Score (100)	Total Score (300)	Average Score (100)	Final Rank
Speaker 1	<u>61</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>209</u>	<u>69</u>	① ✓
Speaker 2	<u>50</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>5</u>
Speaker 3	<u>62</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>77</u>	<u>199</u>	<u>66</u>	②
Speaker 4	<u>54</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>78</u>	<u>187</u>	<u>62</u>	④
Speaker 5	<u>55</u>	<u>63</u>	<u>76</u>	<u>194</u>	<u>64</u>	③
Speaker 6	<u>32</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>124</u>	<u>41</u>	⑤

Calculation Method

Total Score = Judge 1 + Judge 2 + Judge 3

Average Score = Total Score ÷ 3

Final Result

Gold Medal Winner: _____

Runner Up: _____

Second Runner Up (Optional): _____

Certification by Judges

We hereby certify that the above scores have been evaluated independently and the final result has been determined based on the average scoring system.

Judge 1 Signature: _____

Judge 2 Signature: _____

Judge 3 Signature: _____

Date: _____